

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/736,807		12/15/2003	Robert A. Piane JR.	PIANE 203-KFM	4138	
10037	7590	12/11/2006	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
MILDE & F		ERG, LLP	DONNELLY,	DONNELLY, JEROME W		
10 BANK STREET SUITE 460				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WHITE PLA	INS, N	Y 10606	3764			
			DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006	DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/736,807	PIANE, ROBERT A.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	Jerome W. Donnelly	3764				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•	•					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□	•	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)[7]	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
/4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)							
6)[]	) Claim(s) is/are allowed. ) Claim(s) /─/ਓ is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents		-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			ROME DONNELLY IMARY EXAMINER				
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) X Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/0</u> 5/2004/7-26-2004	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application				

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Telle.

Telle discloses a device comprising a frame, said frame including as part of its structure a handle, said hand adapted for movement in two directions, a weight arranged on said frame at element (19) and coupled to said handle by a linkage.

Telle discloses the device also including a spring means (38) having two ends said spring means coupled to said handle through a linkage and further couple to said frame. Spring means (38) is equipped with valve members (47) for controlling resistance in two directions and thereby applying a spring force to the handle in a second direction in addition to the gravitational force of applied by the weight.

The examiner has not given weight to the claim of "a prescribed minimum value" since said value has not been claimed.

Claim 2 is so broad so as to read on weights stacked horizontally.

In regard to claim 3 and 4 it is known in the art to provide weights of varying weight to exercise devices as well as weight of the same weight.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,807

Art Unit: 3764

In regard to claim 5, the examiner reminds the applicant that to meet the claim language of claim 5 it is only necessary that the spring element 38 of Telle be removable.

In regard to claim 2, element 15 may be considered as a weight stack positionable between spring means 108-113. Applicant has not claimed that the springs be attached to the weights.

In regard to claim 11, the hydraulic spring (38) of Telle of a tension spring, elastic band, coil spring, compression spring and or a pneumatic spring is obvious in view of the disclosure of McFee of substituting various type of resistance spring in his device.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification does not disclose a plurality of springs capable of being attached to said weights and said frame and spring being attach to said handle as claimed in claim 1.

Claims 14-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Webber in view of Allison.

Webber discloses a device comprising a frame, an exit guide, a cable (72) having a

Application/Control Number: 10/736,807

Art Unit: 3764

proximal end and a distal end one end being attached to a handle and one end being attached to weights.

Webber however does not include an additional resistance element comprising a spring means, coupled to said weights and a frame structure.

Allison teaches providing an additional resistance means in the form of a plurality of elastic cord means (22).

Given the above teaching the examiner notes that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art to provide an additional resistance in the form of resilient bands for the purpose of providing additional resistance to the device of Webber.

In regard to claim 15 note weight stack of both Webber and Allison.

In regard to claim 16, note hook 29 of Allison.

In regard to claim 18, note the plurality of cord 20, which are disposed on opposite sides of the center line (16) of Allison, which as broadly claim is on opposite sides of said weight stack.

Claims 7 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reyes in view of Koenig and further in view of Allison.

Reyes discloses the device of claim 7 substantially as claimed absent the weight means and the spring means having different spring constant.

The examiner notes that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

Application/Control Number: 10/736,807

Art Unit: 3764

include a weight means as disclosed by Koenig on the device of Reyes as a known resistance means in the art.

As to the device including spring means having different spring constants the examiner note that it is well known in the art to manufacture spring of different constants (in view of the spring 22) disclosed by Allison, for the purpose varying the resistance force exerted on the handle means of Reyes modified Supra.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerome Donnelly at telephone number (571) 272-4975.

Jerome Donnelly

JEROME DONNELL